2024/1/34

## JSACE 1/34

22

Circular Renovation of an Apartment Building with Prefabricated Additional Insulation Elements to Nearly Zero Energy Building

Received 2023/11/21

Accepted after revision 2024/01/24

## Circular Renovation of an Apartment Building with Prefabricated Additional Insulation Elements to Nearly Zero Energy Building

## Eero Nigumann\*

Г

Timbeco Ehitus OÜ, Saku-Tõdva tee 41, 75508 Saku, Estonia

## Targo Kalamees, Kalle Kuusk, Peep Pihelo

Tallinn University of Technology, Nearly Zero Energy Buildings Research Group, Ehitajate tee 5, 19086 Tallinn, Estonia

\*Corresponding author: eero@timbeco.ee

https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.sace.34.1.35674

## Abstract

Construction and demolition waste constitute more than one-third of the total waste generated in the European Union. The pursuit of sustainable renovation must progress further to encompass elements that ensure reuse or recyclability. A fundamental transformation, involving extensive renovation and a transition to circular renovation practices, is indispensable in effectively addressing the pressing challenge of decarbonization for the entire building stock in Europe. In this study, we have developed a circular deep renovation solution using prefabricated modular external additional insulation elements to achieve a nearly Zero Energy Building (nZEB). Circular prefabricated modular external additional insulation elements were formulated, manufactured, and installed. The potential for disassembly and reutilization of materials was developed and demonstrated for both a prototype and the complete deep renovation. The analysed prefabricated modular solutions exhibited greater potential for circularity compared to the traditional External Thermal Insulation Composite System (ETICS) due to their superior demountability and reusability characteristics. The overall cost of the renovation, which included the installation of a new heating system, replacement of water and sewer pipes, addition of 50 kW photovoltaic (PV) panels on the roof, installation of new balconies, addition of a balanced ventilation system with heat recovery, and replacement of the electricity system in common areas, amounted to 505  $\notin$ /m<sup>2</sup>. Following the deep renovation, the Energy Performance Value was measured to be 92 kWh/( $m^2$ ·a), resulting in an EPC class of A. This implies that the building now meets the requirements for nZEB in accordance with Estonian legislation, with no performance gap.

Keywords: deep renovation; design for disassembly; nZEB; prefabricated insulation element; circular renovation.

## Introduction



Journal of Sustainable Architecture and Civil Engineering Vol. 1 / No. 34 / 2024 pp. 22-34 DOI 10.5755/j01.sace.34.1.35674 The European Union has set a significant goal of decarbonizing its building stock (EPBD recast, 2018) is a crucial and multifaceted undertaking, aimed at realizing a carbon-neutral European society. It has been recognized that the built environment accounts for 40% of final energy consumption and 36% of greenhouse gas emissions in the EU. Furthermore, the energy used in the construction phase makes up 50-60% of a building's overall life cycle energy, leading to a substantial burden of embodied  $CO_2$  emissions (Rauf et al., 2015). The construction sector is responsible for over half of all extracted materials in the EU (EC COM 571, 2011), exacerbating the depletion of natural resources and the resulting environmental impacts. To address these challenges, it is

crucial to adopt renewable energy technologies and promote the reuse and recycling of resources and materials. A comprehensive transformation, encompassing deep renovation and a shift towards circular renovation practices, is essential for tackling the urgent decarbonization challenge facing Europe's building stock (Daly, 2023; Pittau et al., 2019).

One of the most important lessons learned from the "first generation" prefabricated deep renovation pilot projects (Arnesano et al., 2018; Assimakopoulos et al., 2020; Pihelo et al., 2020) that the main barriers related to specific technical solutions (Targo Kalamees et al., 2016; Kuusk et al., 2015), hygrothermal performance (Pihelo et al., 2016; Pinotti et al., 2018), cost effectiveness (Kuusk & Kalamees, 2016; Padula et al., 2018), but deal with the deep renovation and nZEB knowledge, for both the building owners/users (Mjörnell et al., 2014) and for the designers (Kuusk, Kalamees, et al., 2016). Although the multiple benefits of increased energy performance through a holistic renovation approach, including indoor environmental quality, health, well-being, and moisture safety, are widely recognized (Barmparesos et al., 2019; Ilomets et al., 2017), these benefits have not yet been seamlessly integrated into consumer-centric business models. Such integration is crucial to stimulate higher renovation rates, helping to decarbonize the building stock and achieve long-term climate, environmental and energy goals.

Although the Construction Products Regulation (CPR EU 305, 2011) mandates the sustainable utilization of natural resources, including the requirement for the reuse or recyclability of construction works, materials, and components after demolition, the concept of circularity is still in its early stages in the construction industry (Almeida et al., 2022; Dams et al., 2021; Ghaffar et al., 2020; Ossio et al., 2023). Specifically, circularity in renovation is just beginning to gain traction. Therefore, the pursuit of sustainable renovation must progress further to encompass aspects related to ensuring reuse or recyclability. Moreover, upon completion of construction, it is imperative for designers, builders, and end-users to have access to comprehensive data regarding the actual overall performance of the building under practical, real-world conditions. This data should encompass various aspects, including material usage (Sagan et al., 2021; Stephan et al., 2018) , energy performance, indoor environmental quality, moisture safety, and, notably, the health and well-being of occupants within the renovated building. Without such information, the performance gap between the intended and actual targets for high-performance buildings may remain unacceptably wide (Cozza et al., 2019; Hamburg et al., 2020, 2019).

In Estonia, nearly 70% of the population resides in apartment buildings. The country has a total of approximately 22,000 apartment buildings, predominantly constructed between 1960 and 1990. Approximately 14,000 of these buildings require extensive renovation to align with the objective of achieving a carbon-neutral building stock by 2050 (LTRS, 2020). Most of these buildings struggle with common problems such as high energy consumption (Kuusk & Kalamees, 2016), low ventilation (Mikola et al., 2017), uneven indoor temperature (Arumägi et al., 2015) and insufficient thermal comfort, serious thermal bridges (Ilomets et al., 2016). This underscores the urgent need for meticulous and comprehensive deep renovations.

Construction and demolition waste accounts for more than one-third of the total waste generated in the European Union. As the volume of renovation projects increases, there is a potential for a corresponding increase in construction and demolition waste. The adoption of circular practices during renovation projects aims to minimize the amount of waste generated. To enhance the reusability of building materials and components, prefabrication and modularity play a crucial role. In recent years, various projects have comprehensively addressed these aspects, focusing on the development of technological solutions (achieving a Technology Readiness Level of 6 to 7) (Ferrante et al., 2019; Lupisek et al., 2019; Pihelo et al., 2017), as well as overcoming market barriers and devising strategies for engaging end-users (D'Oca et al., 2018). The next step towards sustainable renovation involves considering embodied aspects such as embodied energy and embodied CO2 (Kertsmik et al., 2023). To guide apartment associations in adopting new solutions, it



is crucial to raise awareness and provide effective demonstrations. This study focuses on the development of a circular deep renovation solution for achieving nearly Zero Energy Building (nZEB) standards in cold climates like Estonia. This is accomplished through the utilization of prefabricated modular external additional insulation elements, progressing from Technology Readiness Levels 6 to 9 (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1

Apartment building before (left) and after (right) the circular deep renovation with modular prefabricated additional insulation elements



## Methodology

In this study we used different methods for research and development of circular modular prefabricated additional insulation elements.

Circular prefabricated modular external additional insulation elements were developed from levels TRL 6 to TRL 9. Hygrothermal performance was measured by temperature and humidity sensors (Onset HOBO UX100-023A). Thermal bridges' locations were measured with thermography by using FLIR E302 thermal camera. To model the hygrothermal performance of the external walls, simulations with Delphin software (based on (Nicolai, 2008)) were carried out. To calculate the risks of mould growth we used Finnish mould model (VTT et al., 2018). For the simulations, the climate conditions from the Estonian moisture reference year (Targo Kalamees et al., 2004) were applied.

Production and installation, potential for disassembly and reuse of materials were developed and demonstrated for a prototype (TRL 6) and for the full deep renovation (TRL 9). Design for disassembly of product level and system level is assessed using the Alba Concepts Building Circularity Index (Vliet et al., 2015).

Circularity renovation solutions were developed for different purpose:

- \_ Reused or recycled materials added to renovated building;
- \_ Reuse of existing materials from the renovated building in the renovated building;
- \_ Reuse of existing materials from renovated building in other building;
- \_ Recycling of existing materials from renovated building.

Indoor climate (temperature, humidity) was measured from 4 apartments before and after the renovation. Before and after the renovation energy performance (heat use for room heating, ventilation and heating domestic hot water (DHW), electricity use for lighting, appliances, and service systems, and electricity generation from renewable sources (PV panels)) was measured (normal meters installed to building) and modelled (IDA-ICE software).

The organisational structure and development responsibilities were following. TalTech: pre-renovation research of building envelope, indoor climate and energy performance, concept prototype design and measurement conceptual design, life cycle assessment. Timbeco: pre-renovation research of load bearing structures, laser scanning, prototype production and installation, detailed design, life cycle cost, budget management.

## Developing of circular prefabricated modular external additional insulation elements

We analysed the life-cycle cost of various façade insulation solutions (without the cost of the windows), considering the initial cost and maintenance expenses over a period of 50 years, Table 1. The initial cost includes production and installation costs per square meter of façade. Wooden façade cladding requires a new coat of paint every 10-15 years, while ETICS (External Thermal Insulation Composite System) requires a new plaster layer every 15-20 years. Although the initial cost for prefabricated solutions is higher, the total cost over a 50-year life cycle is similar for both prefabricated solutions and ETICS due to the lower maintenance cost of prefabricated solutions.

Table 1 presents Life Cycle Cost (LCC) values without factoring in the influence of inflation and capital costs. Those desiring the LCC result considering inflation and capital costs (which can vary widely) may independently calculate it, using the initial cost as a baseline.

	Initial cost, facade €/m²	Maintenance cost, €/m²	Maintenance per 50-year life cycle	Total cost, facade €/m²
Fibre cement wind barrier and fibre cement façade board	151	0	0	151
Fibre cement wind barrier and wooden façade cladding	128	18	3	182
Mineral wool wind barrier and fiber cement façade board	155	0	0	155
Mineral wool wind barrier and wooden façade cladding	132	18	3	186
ETICS – rock wool	86	36	2	158
ETICS – EPS	78	36	2	150

The product and technology research and development started from TRL 6 (Pihelo, 2020). Potential of drying out of the initial moisture from insulated AAC external wall and hygrothermal performance of prefabricated timber frame elements for the additional insulation were analysed and we find the appropriate sets for the major energy renovation of apartment. The best choice of material for a wind barrier based on hygrothermal performance was mineral wool. The mould growth risk was high if the installation starts in the cold and humid season or if vapour barrier layer has too high vapour resistance (e.g. PE-foil,  $S_d \ge 50$  m).

We demonstrated the performance of prototype in operational environment (TRL 7) by installing it to the end wall or a 3-storey apartment building (Pihelo et al., 2023). The structure of the prefabricated additional thermal insulation element was based on timber frames (c/c 600 mm) with 195 mm of mineral wool (MW) insulation (layer 4 in Fig. 2, left), where the air and vapor tightness from the inner side was to guaranteed with an air and vapor barrier membrane (layer 5) and covered with a wind barrier layer (layer 3) from the external side. Ventilated air gap (layer 2) with vertical timber battens 28×70 mm contributes to the drying out of built-in moisture, and the facade boarding ensures the weatherproof protection of envelope structures (layer 1). To minimize convection between structures and to fill the gap between the uneven original wall surface and the prefabricated timber frame insulation element, a mineral wool buffer layer with density  $\rho = 22 \text{ kg/m}^3$  is anticipated on the back of the prefabricated elements. The designed thermal transmittance of the AAC wall, insulated additionally with prefabricated timber frame insulation elements was  $U_{\text{external wall}} = 0.12-0.14 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{-K})$  depending on insulation thickness.

# Results and discussion

## Table 1

Life-cycle cost per facade m<sup>2</sup> of insulation solutions (including VAT)

## Fig. 2

Horizontal cross-section of existing wall with prefabricated facade insulation element (above). Extract from the point cloud model of the apartment building (below)



## Fig. 3

An apartment building (above) and a terraced house (below) solutions that can be built by using circular prefabricated modular external additional insulation elements



Based on field measurements or the wall prototype in operational environment we qualified hygrothermal model for the final stage of the design. As measurements and the modelling results were in good agreement, we may classify the model gualified (TRL 8).

## **Circularity renovation solutions**

On a product level a medium degree of circularity has been achieved. Type and accessibility of connections, crossings and form containment showed high degree of circularity and material use showed low degree of circularity. Analysed prefabricated modular solutions had higher circularity potential than the traditional External Thermal Insulation Composite System (ETICS) due to the low demountability and reusability potential (Kuusk et al., 2022). Multifunctional use of building components and products helps to increase the potential for circularity. The facade elements were designed using circular principles, making it possible for them to be easily dismantled and reused in future renovation projects for similar buildings or in the construction of new apartment building or terraced house, (see Fig. 3 (TRL 2)).

A year after installation the prototype insulation elements we demounted them, transported to factory and disassembled there. The target was to identify possible areas of concern to get material for reuse, to analyse what quality and quantity it is possible to get for later reuse. Reusable material was reused to product new insulation. Disassemble the insulation element lasted 70 minutes, while the most time-consuming was the removal of the wind barrier board. Mineral wool was divided according to their condition into three groups: full-size (60 % that can be reused as new product), half-size (35 % that could be

used but some waste may be come and air cavities between insulation boards due to non-ideality may increase thermal transmittance of the element (Hallik et al., 2022), and pieces of wool to be recycled (5 % were damaged or too small to be used again) (Kullerkupp, 2022). Considering transportation and work time to demount and disassemble, the price of 45 <sup>'</sup>195 mm wooden planks used in the wooden panel was 6.18 €/m. The price of new material was in 2020 2.83 €/m and in 2022 3.63€/m (Kullerkupp, 2022). In the current case, the element was small, but in the case of larger-scale work, the cost could be lower and become more competitive.

The construction demolition waste generated on the construction site was disposed of in a way that allowed recycling or reusing of the materials, see **Table 2**.

26

2024/1/34

Material	Circularity	Description	Illustration
The use of finger-joined timber for pro-duction of frame of insula- tion elements	Recycled materi- als added to reno- vated building	Finger joints are used to join short wood pieces to form longer units. The joint consists of several adjacent wooden wedges or "fingers" and is held together with glue.	
Old radiators	Reuse of existing components from the renovat- ed building in the renovated building	Old cast iron radiators from apartments were used in stairwells. The radiators were cleaned and sandblasted before being painted. Some of radiators started to leaks after reinstallation	
Plinth paving (concrete)	Recycling of existing materi- als/components from renovated building	Concrete with recycled filler was used to pour the plinth of the building.	
Roof's covering (metal sheets)	Reuse of existing materials from renovated building in other building	The roof sheet removed from the building was reused on the roof of a farmhouse in southern Estonia. About 20 % of the roof sheet was unsuitable for reuse (recycled).	
Old windows	Reuse of existing components from renovated building in other building	The old windows were partially reused in the cottages and garden houses. High thermal transmittance does not allow them in buildings with energy performance requirements	
Reuse of wall prototype's materials	Reuse of existing materials/com- ponents from the renovated build- ing in the reno- vated building	≈95 % of the materials were reusable to a greater or lesser extent	

## Table 2

Circular use of materials and components in pilot building renovation



#### Production and installation of prefabricated facade elements

Before commencing the renovation project, a pre-renovation study was conducted to examine the structural reinforcements' locations and fastening solutions' stability on the building. The building was laser-scanned, resulting in a point cloud of the apartment building's facade and surroundings (see Fig. 2 right). This data was then used to create a digital 3D baseline model of the building in Autodesk Revit software, providing a foundation for the facade element model's creation.

During the production of the façade elements, 66% of the timber frame and horizontal insulation slats were utilized by gluing together the production scraps using the finger jointing method. The elements were equipped with ventilation pipes, windows, and facade covering material made of mass-painted cement panels combined with hot-oiled wooden cladding.

An external wind barrier and an internal vapor barrier tape were used to install the window. The fastenings of element structures are designed so that these elements can be removed from the walls later and used either for the same purpose on another building or disassembled.

The facade elements were installed using a crane and telescopic boom lifts. The elements were delivered in the installation order and lifted into place Fig. 4 left. Scaffolding was not installed around the building. In the case of a traditional method of reconstruction, the use of scaffolding would have been around the building for 5-6 months.

## Fig. 4

The windows were installed and sealed at the factory with vapor barrier tape (left). Ventilation ducts were installed in the facade elements at the factory (right)



Before the installation of the facade elements, the window openings of the living rooms on the south side of the building were made larger and a balcony door was also installed. By closing the balconies on the north side of the building, the surface of the kitchen was increased by 5.2 - 6.7 m<sup>2</sup>, depending on the apartment. The net area of the entire building increased by 134.2 m<sup>2</sup>. New balconies were built on the south side, working partly as sunshades to reduce excessive heat in the rooms (see Fig. 5).

## Fig. 5

Milling the window openings larger to fit the window together with the balcony door (left). New balconies on the south side of the building (right)





### Duration and cost of renovation

The contract for the deep renovation was initially signed for six months, but due to unforeseen events caused by the COVID-19 pandemic and disruptions in the supply chain, there was a delay of three months. For instance, the delivery of the ventilation unit was delayed by two months, and since the installation of the ventilation units was done through the roof, it was not possible to complete the roof works and PV panel installation.

The design phase for the prefabricated elements took 14 weeks, while the installation of load-bearing steel corners and base timber lasted for two weeks. The installation of timber frame elements, including demolition works and removing of old windows, took a total of eight weeks.

The total cost of the renovation, including the installation of a new heating system, replacement of water and sewer pipes, addition of 50 kW PV panels on the roof, installation of new balconies, addition of a balanced ventilation system with heat recovery, and replacement of the electricity system in common areas, amounted to 505 EUR/(net area m<sup>2</sup>) (see Table 3).

The cost of prefabricated insulation elements with installation and new windows was 233 €/ (facade m<sup>2</sup>) or 166 €/(net area m<sup>2</sup>). This cost includes laser scanning, fixing details, crane,

Name of work	Price (€/net area m²)	Percentage
The cost of prefabricated insulation elements with installation and new windows	166	33%
New ventilation system	46	9%
Replacing heating system	25	5%
Replacing water and sewage system	18	4%
Replacing electricity in common areas	17	3%
New PV panels	18	4%
New balconies	17	3%
Water isolation and insulation of cellar external wall	19	4%
Interior finishing renovation works	48	10%
Replacing the roof cover- ing and attic insulation	44	9%
New main doors	8	2%
Other costs in total: renting equipment, waste utilization etc.	78	15%
Total	505	100%

boom lifts, removal of old windows, cutting of old balcony slabs, demolition of old kitchen external wall, drilling of new ventilation holes in the existing wall, and cutting in the new balcony doors.

#### Energy performance and indoor climate

Prior to the renovation, the heating demand was 151 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a) and electricity use was 32 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a). However, due to the low ventilation airflow rate, the actual normalised heating energy usage was expected to be around 195 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a). After the renovation, the heating energy use was significantly reduced to 52 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a) and electricity use was reduced to 19 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a). This translates to a 65% reduction in the measured energy used for room heating and a 42% decrease in electricity consumption (see Fig. 6 left).

The building had an Energy Performance Value (EPV) of 173 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a) before the renovation, leading to an Energy Performance Certificate of class D. However, after undergoing a deep renovation, the Energy Performance Value was measured to be 92 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a), resulting in an EPV class of A (see Fig. 6 right). This means that the building now meets the requirements for nZEB of new building according to Estonian legislation (RT I, 13.12.2018, 2018). In current case energy performance target was fulfilled without performance gap like it happened in the first prefabricated renovation pilot in Estonia (Hamburg et al., 2020).

#### 2024/1/34

Table 3

Cost of renovation work sections for net area the building (including VAT)



## Fig. 6

Delivered and exported energy (left) and corresponding Energy Performance Value (right)



During indoor climate measurement, which included temperature, humidity, and CO2 levels, it was found that the ventilation airflow in the apartment was lower than required. This is similar to other apartment buildings that have a natural ventilation system (Mikola et al., 2017). The average  $CO_2$  level in the four measured apartments at night was 1050 ppm, with the maximum level reaching 2200 ppm. Before the renovation, the  $CO_2$  levels in the building were measured. As a part of the renovation, a new mechanical supply-exhaust ventilation system with heat recovery was installed. The efficiency of the ventilation system was assessed by measuring the airflows. It was found that in the bedrooms, the intended design value of 10 l/s for supply airflow was achieved. The measurement results indicate that the temperature has become more stable after the renovation (see Fig. 7). After the deep renovation, which included the installation of a mechanical ventilation system, indoor moisture loads decreased significantly: moisture excess Dn from 2.5 g/m<sup>3</sup> to 1.4 g/m<sup>3</sup> (representing low indoor humidity loads (Ilomets et al., 2018)).

See taken on the case study building images and corresponding temperature factors ( $f_{Rsi}$ ) in Fig. 8. After the renovation temperature factor was on the safe side  $f_{Rsi} \ge 0.8$  (T Kalamees, 2006).



## Fig. 8

Thermography images before (middle) and after (right) the renovation, and corresponding temperature factors ( $f_{\rm Rs}$ )



 $f_{\rm Rsi~(Sp1)} = 0.66, f_{\rm Rsi~(Sp3)} = 0.78$ 

 $f_{\rm Rsi\,(Sp1)} = 0.96 \, f_{\rm Rsi\,(Sp3)} = 0.95$ 



30

2024/1/34

Circular prefabricated modular external additional insulation elements ( $U_{\text{external wall}} = 0.12-0.14 \text{ W/}$  (m<sup>2</sup>·K)) were developed, produced, and installed in a typical apartment building with minimal disruption to occupants due to the shorter construction time compared to traditional on-site renovation. The total cost of the renovation, inclusive of the installation of a new heating system, replacement of water and sewer pipes, addition of 50 kW PV panels on the roof, installation of new balconies, addition of a balanced ventilation system with heat recovery, and replacement of the electricity system in common areas, amounted to 505  $\notin$ /(net area m<sup>2</sup>).

On a product level, a medium degree of circularity was achieved. The analysed prefabricated modular solutions exhibited higher circularity potential than the traditional External Thermal Insulation Composite System (ETICS) due to their lower level of demountability and reusability. Construction demolition waste generated on the renovation site was disposed of in a manner that allowed for recycling or reusing of the materials. This included incorporating recycling or reusing materials into the renovated building, reusing existing materials from the renovated building in the same building, or reusing existing materials from the renovated buildings.

Prior to the renovation, the building had an Energy Performance Value (EPV) of 173 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a), resulting in an EPC class D. However, after undergoing deep circular renovation, the Energy Performance Value was measured to be 92 kWh/(m<sup>2</sup>a), leading to an EPC class A. This achievement aligns with the requirements for nearly Zero-Energy Buildings (nZEB) for new buildings under Estonian legislation. Notably, there was no performance gap in meeting the energy performance target in this case. The improvement in indoor climate can be observed through the maintenance of a more stable room temperature, reduced indoor humidity load on the building envelope, and minimized thermal bridges following the renovation.

### Acknowledgment

This work has been supported by the European Commission through the H2020 project DRIVE0, by the Estonian Centre of Excellence in Energy Efficiency, ENER (grant TK230) funded by the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research, and by the Estonian Research Council through the grant PRG483. Kuuma 4 Saue apartment association is acknowledged by fruitful collaboration before, during and after the renovation.

Almeida, F., Vieira, C. S., Carneiro, J. R., & de Lurdes Lopes, M. (2022). Drawing a Path towards Circular Construction: An Approach to Engage Stakeholders. Sustainability (Switzerland), 14(9). doi: https://doi. org/10.3390/su14095314

Arnesano, M., Revel, G. M., Zampetti, L., Rizal, S., Anna, G., Roland, B., Eric, W., Loes, V., & Timo, H. (2018). Plug-and-Play product, process and sensing innovation for Energy-efficient building deep renovation. Proceedings World Sustainable Energy Days 2018 (WSED2018).

Arumägi, E., Kalamees, T., & Kallavus, U. (2015). Indoor climate conditions and hygrothermal loads in historic wooden apartment buildings in cold climates. Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences, 64(2), 146-156. doi: https://doi.org/10.3176/ proc.2015.2.03

Assimakopoulos, M. N., De Masi, R. F., Fotopoulou, A., Papadaki, D., Ruggiero, S., Semprini, G., & Vanoli, G. P. (2020). Holistic approach for energy retrofit with volumetric add-ons toward nZEB target: Case study of a dormitory in Athens. Energy and Buildings, 207. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.109630

Barmparesos, N., Papadaki, D., Karalis, M., Fameliari, K., & Assimakopoulos, M. N. (2019). In situ measurements of energy consumption and indoor environmental quality of a pre-retrofitted student dormitory in Athens. Energies, 12(11). doi: https:// doi.org/10.3390/en12112210

Cozza, S., Chambers, J., & Patel, M. K. (2019). Measuring the thermal energy performance gap of labelled residential buildings in Switzerland. Energy Policy, 111085. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.111085

CPR EU 305. (2011). Regulation

(EU) No 305/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products and repealing Council Directive 89/106/EEC Text with EEA relevance. Retrieved

## Conclusions

## References



from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ TXT/?uri=CELEX:32011R0305

D'Oca, S., Ferrante, A., Ferrer, C., Pernetti, R., Gralka, A., Sebastian, R., & op 't Veld, P. (2018). Technical, Financial, and Social Barriers and Challenges in Deep Building Renovation: Integration of Lessons Learned from the H2020 Cluster Projects. Buildings, 8(12), 174. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings8120174

Daly, P. (2023). A critical review of circularity - 'design for disassembly' assessment methods applied in the development of modular construction panels - an Irish case study. E-Prime - Advances in Electrical Engineering, Electronics and Energy, 5(June), 100252. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prime.2023.100252

Dams, B., Maskell, D., Shea, A., Allen, S., Driesser, M., Kretschmann, T., Walker, P., & Emmitt, S. (2021). A circular construction evaluation framework to promote designing for disassembly and adaptability. Journal of Cleaner Production, 316(May), 128122. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128122

EC COM 571. (2011). Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe. Brussels. Retrieved from http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TX-T/?uri=CELEX:52011DC0571

EPBD recast. (2018). EU-DIRECTIVE 2018/844 of the European Parliament and of Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directive 2010/31/EU on the energy performance of buildings and Directive 2012/27/EU on energy efficiency.

Ferrante, A., Fotopoulou, A., Semprini, G., Cantelli, D., Ruggiero, S., Karalis, M., Efthymiou, C., Papadaki, D., & Assimakopoulos, M. N. (2019). IEQ and energy improvement of existing buildings by prefabricated facade additions: The case of a student house in Athens. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 609(4). doi: https://doi. org/10.1088/1757-899X/609/4/042047

Ghaffar, S. H., Burman, M., & Braimah, N. (2020). Pathways to circular construction: An integrated management of construction and demolition waste for resource recovery. Journal of Cleaner Production, 244, 118710. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2019.118710

Hallik, J., Klõšeiko, P., Piir, R., & Kalamees, T. (2022). Numerical analysis of additional heat loss induced by air cavities between insulation boards due to non-ideality. Journal of Building Engineering, 60(August), 1-15. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jobe.2022.105221

Hamburg, A., & Kalamees, T. (2019). How well are energy performance objectives being achieved in renovated apartment buildings in Estonia? Energy and Buildings, 199, 332-341. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.07.006

Hamburg, A., Kuusk, K., Mikola, A., & Kalamees, T. (2020). Realisation of energy performance targets of an old apartment building renovated to nZEB. Energy, 194, 116874. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116874

Ilomets, S., & Kalamees, T. (2016). Evaluation of the criticality of thermal bridges. Journal of Building Pa-thology and Rehabilitation, 1(1), 11. doi: https://doi. org/10.1007/s41024-016-0005-6

Ilomets, S., Kalamees, T., & Vinha, J. (2018). Indoor hygrothermal loads for the deterministic and stochastic design of the building envelope for dwellings in cold climates. Journal of Building Physics, 41(6), 547-577. doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/1744259117718442

llomets, S., Kuusk, K., Paap, L., Arumägi, E., & Kalamees, T. (2017). Impact of linear thermal bridges on thermal transmittance of renovated apartment buildings. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 23(1), 96-104. doi: https://doi.org/10.3846/13 923730.2014.976259

Kalamees, T. (2006). Critical values for the temperature factor to assess thermal bridges. Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences. Engineering, 12(3-1), 218-229. Retrieved from http://www. kirj.ee/esi-l-t/eng-2006-3\_1-6.pdf https://doi. org/10.3176/eng.2006.3.06

Kalamees, Targo, Lupišek, A., Mørck, O. C., Borodiņecs, A., Aalmeida, M., Rovers, R., Veld, P. O. 't, Kuusk, K., & Silva, S. (2016). What kind of heat loss requirements nZEB and deep renovation sets for building envelope? Central Europe Towards Sustainable Building 2016: Innovations for Sustainable Future, CESB 2016, 137-144.

Kalamees, Targo, & Vinha, J. (2004). Estonian Climate Analysis for Selecting Moisture Reference Years for Hygrothermal Calculations. Journal of Building Physics, 27(3), 199-220. doi: https://doi. org/10.1177/1097196304038839

Kertsmik, K. A., Kuusk, K., Lylykangas, K., & Kalamees, T. (2023). Evaluation of renovation strategies: cost-optimal, CO<sub>2</sub>e optimal, or total energy optimal? Energy and Buildings, 287, 112995. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2023.112995

Kullerkupp, K. (2022). Circularity concepts for offsite prefabricated energy renovation of apartment buildings [Tallinn University of Technology]. Retrieved from https://digikogu.taltech.ee/en/item/ c7459a0d-23cc-4db2-b9c1-1ce8e1c4f036

Kuusk, K., & Kalamees, T. (2015). nZEB Retrofit of a Concrete Large Panel Apartment Building. Energy Procedia, 78, 985-990. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.038 Kuusk, K., & Kalamees, T. (2016). Retrofit cost-effectiveness: Estonian apartment buildings. Building Research and Information, 44(8), 1-15. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2016.1103117

Kuusk, K., Kalamees, T., & Pihelo, P. (2016). Experiences from Design Process of Renovation of Existing Apartment Building to nZEB. P. K. Heiselberg (Ed.), CLIMA 2016 - 12th REHVA World Congress. 22-25 May 2016, Aalborg, Denmark: Aalborg University, Department of Civil Engineering.

Kuusk, K., Ritzen, M., Daly, P., Papadaki, D., Mazzoli, C., Aslankaya, G., Vetršek, J., & Kalamees, T. (2022). The circularity of renovation solutions for residential buildings. CLIMA 2022 The 14th REHVA HVAC World Congress, 0(4), 1-7. doi: 10.34641/clima.2022.333

LTRS. (2020). Long-term strategy for building renovation. Tallinn. Retrieved from https://energy. ec.europa.eu/topics/energy-efficiency/energy-efficient-buildings/long-term-renovation-strategies\_ en#national-long-term-renovation-strategies-2020

Lupisek, A., Sojková, K., Volf, M., & Hejtmánek, P. (2019). Potential for energy savings in Czech residential building stock by application of a prefabricated mass retrofitting system. Transition Towards a Net Zero Carbon Built Environment, SBE 2019, 323(1). doi: https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/323/1/012173

Mikola, A., Kalamees, T., & Kõiv, T.-A. (2017). Performance of ventilation in Estonian apartment buildings. Energy Procedia, 132, 963-968. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.09.681

Mjörnell, K., Boss, A., Lindahl, M., & Molnar, S. (2014). A tool to evaluate different renovation alternatives with regard to sustainability. Sustainability (Switzerland), 6(7), 4227-4245. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ su6074227

Nicolai, A. (2008). Modeling and numerical simulation of salt transport and phase transitions in unsaturated porous building materials [Syracuse University]. Retrieved from http://surface.syr.edu/ mae\_etd/7

Ossio, F., Salinas, C., & Hernández, H. (2023). Circular economy in the built environment: A systematic literature review and definition of the circular construction concept. Journal of Cleaner Production, 414(January). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137738

Padula, M., Picenni, F., Malvezzi, R., Laghi, L., Lissén, J. M. S., De La Flor, F. J. S., Mateo-Cecilia, C., Soto-Francés, L., Assimakopoulos, M. N., & Karlessi, T. (2018). MedZEB: A new holistic approach for the deep energy retrofitting of residential buildings. TECHNE - Journal of Technology for Architecture and Environment, 1(April 2019), 127-133. doi: 10.13128/Techne-22743 Pihelo, P, Lelumees, M., & Kalamees, T. (2016). Influence of Moisture Dry-out on Hygrothermal Performance of Prefabricated Modular Renovation Elements. SBE16 Tallinn and Helsinki Conference Build Green and Renovate Deep, SBE2016, 5-7 October 2016, Tallinn and Helsinki, in Energy Procedia, 96, 745-755. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2016.09.137

Pihelo, Peep. (2020). Hygrothermal Performance of Prefabricated Timber Frame Insulation Elements for Deep Energy Renovation of Apartment Buildings [TalTech Press]. In [in Estonian: Puitkarkass-lisasoojustuselementide niiskustehniline toimivus suurpaneelelamute tervikrenoveerimisel]. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/en13071709

Pihelo, Peep, & Kalamees, T. (2023). Hygrothermal Performance of AAC Exterior Wall After Additional Insulation with Prefabricated Elements in Estonia. 5th Central European Symposium on Building Physics, CESBP2022, 5-7 September 2022, Bratislava, Slovakia, in: AIP Conference Proceedings. https:// doi.org/10.1063/5.0170884

Pihelo, Peep, Kalamees, T., & Kuusk, K. (2017). nZEB Renovation with Prefabricated Modular Panels. Energy Procedia, 132, 1006-1011. doi: https:// doi.org/10.1088/issn.1742-6596

Pihelo, Peep, Kuusk, K., & Kalamees, T. (2020). Development and Performance Assessment of Prefabricated Insulation Elements for Deep Energy Renovation of Apartment Buildings. Energies, 13(7), 1709. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/en13071709

Pinotti, R., Avesani, S., Babich, F., Gasparella, A., & Speranza, A. (2018). How to analyse the performances of innovative variable diffusivity membranes integrated within prefabricated timber facades: Computer-based modelling and experimental analysis. Journal of Facade Design and Engineering, 6(2), 41-51. doi: 10.7480/jfde.2018.2.2083

Pittau, F., Iannaccone, G., Lumia, G., & Habert, G. (2019). Towards a model for circular renovation of the existing building stock: A preliminary study on the potential for CO2 reduction of bio-based insulation materials. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 323(1). doi: https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/323/1/012176

Rauf, A., & Crawford, R. H. (2015). Building service life and its effect on the life cycle embodied energy of buildings. Energy, 79(C), 140-148. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.10.093

RT I, 13.12.2018, 14. (2018). Decree of the Minister of Foreign Trade and Information Technology nr 63 (11.12.2018) Minimum energy performance requirements of the building (in Estonian: EITM määrus nr 63 (11.12.2018). Hoone energiatõhususe miinimumnõuded). Tallinn: Riigi Teataja.



Sagan, J., & Sobotka, A. (2021). Analysis of factors affecting the circularity of building materials. Materials, 14(23). doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14237296

Stephan, A., & Athanassiadis, A. (2018). Towards a more circular construction sector: Estimating and spatialising current and future non-structural material replacement flows to maintain urban building stocks. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 129(April 2017), 248-262. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.022

Vliet, M. van, Grinsven, J. van, & Teunizen, J. (2015). Circular Buildings - een meetmethodiek voor losmaakbaarheid - Dutch Green Building Council. doi: Circular Buildings - een meetmethodiek voor losmaakbaarheid

VTT, & TTY. (2018). Finnish mould model calculation file.

## About the Authors

## EERO NIGUMANN

Project manager Timbeco Ehitus OÜ

#### Main research area

Prefabricated offsite renovation solution development and realization

#### Address

Saku-Tõdva tee 41, Saku´, 75508, Estonia eero@timbeco.ee

## TARGO KALAMEES

Professor of Building Physics

Tallinn University of Technology, Department of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Nearly Zero Energy Research Group

#### Main research area

Building physics; Renovation of buildings; Hygrothermal behaviour of buildings envelope structures; Heat loss of building envelope; Hygrothermal loads; Indoor climate and energy consumption; Circular economy in construction; Climate proofing; Climate resilient renovation; Future-proof buildings

#### Address

Ehitajate tee 5, Tallinn, 19086, Estonia E-mail: targo.kalamees@ taltech.ee

## KALLE KUUSK

Senior Researcher

Tallinn University of Technology, FinEst Centre for Smart Cities

#### Main research area

Renovation of buildings; Building service systems

#### Address

Ehitajate tee 5, Tallinn, 19086, Estonia E-mail: kalle.kuusk@taltech.ee

#### PEEP PIHELO

#### Expert

Tallinn University of Technology, Department of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Nearly Zero Energy Research Group

#### Main research area

Building physics; Renovation of buildings; Hygrothermal behaviour of buildings envelope structures;

#### Address

Ehitajate tee 5, Tallinn, 19086, Estonia E-mail: peep.pihelo@taltech.ee

This article is an Open Access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

